



Gifted Comparison Document – Current Law and Funding, House Plan, Senate Plan

Updated June 12, 2009

This document compares funding levels and funding mechanisms along with policy provisions supported by OAGC between current law, HB1 as passed by the House, and HB1 as passed by the Senate. As Governor Strickland has endorsed the House version of HB1, the introduced version of the bill is not included in this comparison document. All figures from the House plan are based on ADM levels from March. OAGC is currently seeking clarification from the Ohio Senate Majority Caucus on the intent of some of the issues in the Senate Plan. This document will be modified as additional information is received.

<u>Provision</u>	<u>Current Law and 09 Funding</u>	<u>House Plan</u>	<u>Senate Plan</u>
School Funding Formula and Adequacy Amount (Items EDU-125, EDU-126, EDU-132, EDU-185, EDU-84, EDU-151 in the LSC HB 1 Comparison Document found at http://tinyurl.com/l855rx)	FY09 \$48,008,613 Unit Funding* 42,188,126 Gifted ID Funds 4,794,470 SHI 1,026,017 *Includes both district and ESC funding	FY10 \$67,382,898 FY11 \$85,396,925 Districts* 41,491,159 52,681,693 Gifted Trans. aid 7,261,905 5,704,129 ESCs 8,100,000 8,100,000 Comm. Schls.** 16,650,242 17,885,085 SHI 1,026,017 1,026,017 *Includes Identification, coordinator, GIS, and professional development. Amount shown is after the cap. Districts may get waivers for this spending level for a limited time, but must spend to 2009 levels. **Does not include funding for virtual based community schools. As very few community schools identify gifted students which is a condition of this funding, these amounts are unlikely to be fully allocated.	FY10 \$47,336,763 FY11 \$47,336,763 Districts 33,416,276 33,416,276 Gifted ID Funds 4,794,470 4,794,470 ESCs 8,100,000 8,100,000 SHI 1,026,017 1,026,017
	Gifted Funding Mechanism (Items EDU-125, EDU-126, EDU-132, EDU-185, EDU-84, EDU-151 in the LSC HB 1 Comparison Document)	Gifted Unit Funding plus ID Funds Gifted Identification Funds -- \$4,700,000 or approximately \$2.85 per ADM (no state share applied) Gifted Unit Funds – 1,100 gifted units authorized (based on old state minimum)	Organizational Unit Funding plus ID Funds Gifted Identification Funds at \$5 per ADM for gifted identification Organizational Unit Funding including:

	<p>teacher salary schedule -- no state share applied)</p> <p>Summer Honors Institutes including Martin Essex \$1,026,017 in both FY10 and FY11</p>	<p>Gifted Coordination -- 1 gifted coordinator per 2500 ADM -- fully funded in FY2010 and FY2011</p> <p>Gifted Intervention Specialists -- 1 gifted intervention specialist per organizational unit funded at .2 in FY10 and .3 in FY11</p> <p>Professional Development funds for each GIS funded at \$1833 x.2 in FY10 and \$1833 x.3 in FY11. (State Share is applied to ID, coordinator, and GIS elements)</p> <p>ESC Funding -- \$8.1 million in both FY10 and FY11</p> <p>Summer Honors Institutes including Martin Essex \$1,026,017 in both FY10 and FY11</p>	<p>districts not receiving funds for service.</p> <p>ESC Funding -- \$8.1 million in both FY10 and FY11</p> <p>Summer Honors Institutes including Martin Essex \$1,026,017 in both FY10 and FY11</p>
<p>Phase-In Plan for Funding & Service</p> <p>(Items EDU-132 in the LSC HB 1 Comparison Document)</p>	<p>None.</p> <p>No provision for funding districts that don't currently receive state funding.</p>	<p>Fully Phased In Over 10 Years</p> <p>Gifted ID and Coordination Fully Phased in FY10</p> <p>GIS and PD phased in at .2 in FY10, .3 in FY11, .4 in FY12, .6 in FY14,.8 in FY16, and fully phased in at FY18</p> <p>Provides funding for all districts.</p>	<p>No provision.</p> <p>No provision for funding districts that don't currently receive state funding.</p>
<p>Accountability Provisions</p> <p>(Items EDU-132, EDU- 119, EDU-23 in the LSC HB 1 Comparison Document)</p>	<p>Unit funding is allocated according to the operating standards for gifted education. Identification funds are</p>	<p>FY2010 – Districts and ESCs must spend the same amount of state gifted funding as they spent in FY2009</p> <p>FY2011 – Most districts must have gifted coordinators and GIS in place based on the level of funding.</p> <p>FY2012 – All districts must have gifted coordinators and GIS based on the level of phased in funding.</p> <p>Requires districts to follow operating standards and other rules as developed by the state superintendent of public instruction.</p> <p>Requires gifted funding to be spent on licensed gifted staff.</p> <p>State Superintendent is required to develop a gifted performance indicator.</p> <p>Requires districts to separately account for the four components of the gifted funding factor.</p> <p>Requires districts to identify gifted students in order to receive additional gifted funding.</p>	<p>Districts and ESCs must spend 2009 levels of gifted funding on gifted services to receive gifted funding.</p> <p>Unclear whether operating standard provisions are still to be enforced. Seeking clarification from the Ohio Senate Majority Caucus.</p> <p>No provision. Seeking clarification from the Ohio Senate Majority Caucus.</p> <p>No provision.</p> <p>No provision.</p> <p>No provision.</p>

<u>Other Provisions Affecting Gifted Students</u>			
Student Assessments (Item EDU-118, EDU-48 in the LSC Comp. Doc.)	No change	Replaces the OGT with ACT Provides for the Development of End-of-Course Exams	Idea will be studied. Idea will be studied.
Gifted Funding Study (Item EDU-140 in the LSC Comp.Doc.)	No change	Includes gifted education as one of the areas to be studied by the Ohio Research-Based Study Funding Model Advisory Council.	No provision. Seeking clarification from the Ohio Senate Majority Caucus.
Funding for Advanced Placement and International Baccalaureate Course Exams (Item EDU-48 in the LSC Comp. Doc.)	No change	Provides language allowing funds to be spent on AP and IB Course exams.	No provision.
Enrichment Funds (Item EDU-132 in the LSC Comp.Doc.)	No change	Provides for enrichment funds to be used for arts activities, dual enrollment and other intellectual pursuits.	No provision.
Development of Distance Learning Opportunities (Item ETC-10, ETC-3 in LSC Comp. Doc.)	No change	Created a pilot interactive distance learning program that would provide four Advanced Placement courses to every high school in Ohio.	No provision.
Arts Education (Items EDU-10, EDU-128, EDU-132, EDU-140 in the LSC HB 1 Comparison Document)	No change	Provides language that will include the identification of promising programs, research models and best practice. Includes arts specialists as part of the Specialists Teacher Factor in the OEEM. Includes fine arts as part of acceptable activities to be funded under the enrichment support component of the OEEM. Includes the arts in the areas to be studied by the Ohio School Funding Research Advisory Council.	No provision. No provision. No provision. No provision.
Extended School Year (Item EDU-22 in the LSC Comp. Doc.)	No change.	Creates a committee to study the best use of school hours. A member of the gifted community is included as part of this committee.	Requires State Board of Education and State Superintendent to study this provision. No provision.